no. 22

*Previous references.*

[illegible]

**File No.** G/118 of 1903-04

**1904**

( S & A )

*Later references.*

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

## Serial Nos. 182

**PART B FOR** August

---

SUBJECT.

Continuation of the pension enjoyed in the late Khader Bi of the Dastigiri Syed's family to her mother & ~~daughter~~ sister.

---

| | FILE No. G. 118 of 1903-94 |
|---|---|
| | *Continuation of the pension enjoyed by the late Khader Bi of Dastigiri Syed's family to her mother and ~~daughter~~ sister.* |
| 1 | From the Comptroller, No. 7151, dated 11th June 1904. Requests to be informed as to the disposal of the pension enjoyed by the late Khader Bi. |
| 2 | To the Comptroller, No. Fl 1218, dated 30th August 1904. Directs the continuation of the pension to the surviving members of the family, *viz.*, the mother and sister of the deceased. |

G. R. S. 58

Petn. no. 104 d/ 23-12-91 from Fathima Begum. 1
——— 3628 d/ 29-12-91 } from Favith Hussain Sahib and
——— 120 d/ 4-2-92 } Golam Mahamed ~~Hussain~~ Sahib Saigo.
Letter No. 1581/88-92 d/ 12-5-92 from the 1st Asst to the Resident in Mysore.

---

Memo. (16)

Mysore State Pension

Fatima Begum, the mother of the petitioners, was the Grand-daughter of Meer Gulam Alli, one of the chief Sirdars of Tippoo Sultan. The moiety of ~~the~~ his pension of Rs 8-7-5 per mensem ~~enjoyed by him~~ was, after his death, distributed by Govt among his heirs, one of whom, this Fatima Begum, enjoyed a pension of Rs 20-9-0 till her death on the 25th Decr 1891. In ~~the~~ petition*, presented just before her death, she expressed a wish that the same might be continued among her children — the present petitioners and a daughter. The petitioners also similarly pray for the continuance of two-thirds of it to themselves, apparently wishing to reserve the remaining third for the use of their sister. On a reference⊗ to the Collr of North Arcot (through the First Asst to the Resident), it has been ascertained⊗ —

1st That the only heirs Fathima

* No. 104 d/ 23-12-91 vide S.O.A. 7-no 1 of 91-92

⊗ No. 13217/497 d/ 17 Feb. 92 vide S.O.A. 7-no 1 of 91-92 — Sl. 3

⊗ Vide Collector's No. 59/ma d/ 1-5-92 Sl. 4

2

Fathima Begum has left behind her are, besides her husband, these three children and their issue, and the issue of another deceased son. The first[x] son is 50 years old and is the head of a family of 4 souls; the second[2] aged 30 has a wife; the deceased[x] son leaves a widow and two ~~[illegible]~~ very young daughters; and the daughter (aged 25) is a widow.

[x] Farid Hussain

[2] Gulam Mahomed

[x] Dastagir (Dead)

a Khatija Begum

2dly That ~~this~~ daughter has landed property in S. Arcot left her by her husband; but that the rest possess no property of any kind, having been absolutely dependant upon the pension of the ~~dec~~ased lady. Her husband, however, seems to be in the receipt of a very small Carnatic pension of Rs 2-11-0.

Fathima Begum.
Rs 20.9.0

- Farid Hoossain — 4 Children
- Gulam Mahomed
- Dastagir Syed (Dead) — a widow & 2 Daughters
- Daughter Khatya Begum a widow (Dead) — Mahomed Begum & Abid Hussain

Submitted.
[illegible]
6-8-[illegible]

What is the Under Secy's opinion?

[illegible]
22/8/[illegible]

Submitted
A moiety of the pension enjoyed by Fathima Begum may be continued to Farid Hoossain & other surviving members of

the family as follows:—

(1) Farid Hoosain — Rs 3. 6. 10.

(2) Goolam Mahomed Rs 3. 6. 10.

(3) The widow & daughters of Dastagir Syed Rs 3. 6. 10.

Total Rs. 10. 4. 6

Fathima Begum's daughter Khatija Begum is reported to have died. The latter's daughter Mahomedi Begum has petitioned Govt (Vide Petn No 115 b) for a portion of Fathima Begum's pension, but as Khatija Begum is reported to have landed property in S. Arcot, her daughter does not seem to be entitled to any portion of Fathima Begum's pension — which strictly speaking was for her life only

* Sl. 5 of S.R.A. 1 of 91-92

Noted
19/6/93

V. Rajaratnam
13/7

Drafted
13/7/93

note (continued).

Agreeably to the above orders a moiety of the pension namely, Rs 10-4-6 was continued to the family members of the late Fathima Begum (Vide Govt Proc^gs No 27 dated the 14th July 1893) and was divided among them as noted above.

Flag A

In this division, the widow and daughters of Dastagir Syed named respectively Rahim Khatu, and Khadir Bi and Karim Bi got jointly Rs 3-6-10 per mensem.

In his No^{o} 491 dated the 9th March 1904, the Collector North Arcot reports that Khadir Bi died on the 20th January 1904, leaving behind her an only daughter, named Pacha Begum, an orphan and aged 6 years, that Rahimal Bano, mother of the deceased and joint holder of the pension requests that the deceased

Rahim Khatu as per genealogical table Flag B.

deceased's daughter's name may be substituted for that of Khadir Bai and the pension disbursed as usual.

The order of Govt referred to above does not mention the names of the pensioners in question, but refers to them as widow and daughters of Dastagir Syed. The genealogical Table submitted by the Collector in 1892 calls the widow Rahim Khatun; but in the present communication the Collector calls her Rahimat Bano. This may be another name of the same lady or may have been mis-spelt. But that she was the pensioner referred to in the Govt order is testified to by the Collector, in his letter

Flag B. - vide S. & A. 10.91-92

Submitted for orders.

N.S. 4/4/04

There seems to be no necessity to include

the orphan girl's name as the pension which was sanctioned for the maintenance of the widow & daughters of Dustagir Saiyad out of the pension of the latter's mother will continue to be paid for the support of the widow, daughter & granddaughter (deceased daughter's daughter) of the said Dustagir Saiyad.

Submitted with draft for ) a/c personal or their orders.

6/4/04

Submd to the Dewan with a draft

14/4/04

The Draft was approved & is issued vide Govt of Mysore R. G. O. 15.04 vide 52 P. S. H. 47 : 0801

From the above notes it can be seen that the question of continuing the pension for the support of the widow, the surviving daughter of the daughter of the deceased Khadir Bai, has been contemplated and

and hence it calls for no further action in the matter.

As regards the instructions which the Comptroller seeks for furnishing the information to the Accountant General, Madras, ~~with reference~~ in ~~the~~ this letter under reference, a draft communicating the intention of Government with respect to continuance of the payment of the sum (Rs 3-6-10) to the surviving members, is submitted for approval.

16/6/04

Rs/6

Subd to the Dewan with a draft

18/6/04

23.6.04
18544

Minute officer

T/ Order 1416 P.H. 107157 873

Bl. Ren. 148

Sl. No (1)

MYSORE GOVERNMENT 14 JUN 1904 GENERAL SECRETARIAT

Special Public DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE, 11th June 1904

T4

From

H. Eagles Esquire

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE.

To

L. Ananthaswami Rao Esquire

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

General & Revenue Dept.s.

SIR,

I have the honor to state that the Accountant General Madras in reporting the death on the 20th January 1904 of Kadir Bi one of the three Mysore State Pensioners noted in the margin for whom a joint pension of Rs 3-6-10 per mensem was sanctioned by Government in Proceedings No 27/11 dated 14th July 1893 requested to know whether

Rahmat Bee
Kadir Bi
Lasem Bi

Bodies & daughters of Bangalore Sayad.

* in his letter No 23279/1

vide 91-92

Special Audit DEPARTMENT.

1904

From

Henry Eagles Esq.,
THE COMPTROLLER,
MYSORE GOVT.

To L. Anantasamy Rao Esq B.A.
THE SECY. TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,
Genl. & Rev. Dept.s.

Dated 11th June '04

Despatched 14

Received

No. 7157
P & R No. 873

SUBJECT MATTER

Request to know that he could pay the joint Mysore State Pension to the surviving two pensioners, or should he make a proportionate reduction.

the full amount sanctioned to the three pensioners may be continued to be paid to the surviving two or a proportionate reduction should now be made and if so to state the amount of reduction with reference to Madras Government Order No — xly 5589 dated 9th May 1904,

vide Sl. 2 of SoA ifq 03-01

I therefore request that you will be so good as to obtain and communicate to me the orders of Government on the matter

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant.

McEagles

for AG Comptroller

Copy to B

Pl. Rio Co.

3

To

The Comptroller
to the Govt of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore

No. Pl. 1218
Genl 118-03 } 30 August 1904

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 17157 of 11/14.6.1904 requesting to be informed of the orders of Government as to whether the ~~full~~ amount of the joint pension viz. R3-6-10, ~~should be continued to the surviving~~ members of the State pensioner comprising of the widow & the daughter of the late Dastagir Saiyad or a proportionate reduction should be ~~to~~ made on account of the death of Kader Bi — one of the daughters ~~of the said Dastagir Saiyad~~, ~~I have the honor to state~~ that the amount may be continued to be disbursed in full ~~among~~ to the surviving ~~members~~ viz. the widow & the daughter

drawn by the widow and two daughters of the late Dastagir Saiyad, should, after the death on the 20th January 1904 of Khader Bi, one of the daughters, ~~to~~ ~~should~~ be continued in full to the surviving members, or be proportionately reduced, I am directed to state

I have etc.

15/6. 16/6 Off. Secretary.

10.VIII.04.

12.8.1904

1 copy